Threlkeld Quarry Road Management Ltd Meeting held at no.8 Glenderamackin Terrace Monday 25th September. 6.15pm – 7.30pm

Directors present: Ian Hartland, Jane Martindale, Peter Thurrell (chair), Rosemary Vidler Apologies: Helen Lancaster, Richard Siddall Not attending: Bill Graham (declaration of interest as an employee of BEC company) TQRM admin: Denis Frost, Wendy Thurrell

1. Purpose of meeting

This was a special meeting called to decide TQRM's response to the planning application submitted by BEC Ltd in respect of 18 new business units. to be built by way of an extension to the Blencathra Business Centre. This application having been outlined by BEC representatives at the AGM on 31st May and subsequently submitted to LDNPA planning authority as application no. 7/2023/2136 on 21st August 2023.

2. PT opened the meeting by stating that the prime purpose of TQRM Ltd had always been for the main Vale Road and Glenderamackin Terrace to eventually be formally adopted by our local county council (nowadays the new Cumberland CC) and proposed that we should make every effort to pursue that prime objective, seizing this 'public' opportunity. PT further reported that he had already personally been in informal discussion with Marcus Campbell-Savours (the local council representative for Keswick) and was assured that there would be local council support for this. He therefore called for a simple vote on his proposal.

3. DF argued passionately that (given the uncertainties of council bureaucracy and the usually very lengthy timescales involved in road adoption) we should prioritise traffic calming along Glenderamackin Terrace as being the immediate priority for local residents, as well as petitioning for future road adoption.

Such traffic calming might reasonably be granted as a condition of this particular planning consent, by way of a section 106 notice, as early as November this year, without in any way distracting from the longer-term prime objective of road adoption.

Simple road adoption by the CC (without any traffic calming) would inevitably result simply in a wider road and hence increased speeding traffic along the terrace.

4. After some heated debate, PT insisted that the company should go forward on his first proposal on the basis that a request for immediate traffic-calming measures might dilute the prime objective of road adoption.

5. RV proposed that road safety issues should nonetheless be included as part of our company response and that was agreed by all present.

6. PT requested that RV circulate the draft minutes of this meeting as soon as possible for approval by all those present as well as by absent directors and that PT would afterwards circulate a draft letter by way of our formal TQRM response to LDNPA planning.